Crustal surface wave velocity structure of the east Albany-Fraser Orogen, Western Australia, from ambient noise recordings
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SUMMARY
Group and phase velocity maps in the period range 2–20 s for the Proterozoic east Albany-Fraser Orogen, Western Australia, are extracted from ambient seismic noise recorded with the 70-station ALFREX array. This 2 yr temporary installation provided detailed coverage across the orogen and the edge of the Neoarchean Yilgarn Craton, a region where no passive seismic studies of this scale have occurred to date. The surface wave velocities are rather high overall (> 3 km s−1 nearly everywhere), as expected for exposed Proterozoic basement rocks. No clear signature of the transition between Yilgarn Craton and Albany-Fraser Orogen is observed, but several strong anomalies corresponding to more local geological features were obtained. A prominent, NE-elongated high-velocity anomaly in the northern part of the array is coincident with a Bouguer gravity high caused by the upper crustal metamorphic rocks of the Fraser Zone. This feature disappears towards longer periods, which hints at an exclusively upper crustal origin for this anomaly. Further east, the limestones of the Cenozoic Eucla Basin are clearly imaged as a pronounced low-velocity zone at short periods, but the prevalence of low velocities to periods of ≥5 s implies that the uppermost basement in this area is likewise slow. At longer periods, slightly above-average surface wave velocities are imaged below the Eucla Basin.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The transition from Archean cratonic blocks to adjacent Proterozoic orogens usually has a clear signature in upper-mantle seismic velocities (e.g. Ritsema et al. 1998; Fishwick et al. 2005). Since the upper mantle is relatively homogeneous in terms of composition, seismic velocities are, to first order, determined by the temperature distribution. The mantle lithospheric keels that underlie cratons are colder than their surroundings, and therefore exhibit higher P and S wave speeds. Elevated shear wave speeds, usually gleaned from continent-wide studies of surface wave velocities, are thus frequently used to map out the lateral and depth extent of these keels (e.g. Kennett et al. 2013). At crustal depths, the distinction between Archean craton and Proterozoic orogen is significantly less straightforward, and global compilations of seismic wave speeds show no systematic difference between the two (Rudnick & Fountain 1995). The main reason for this observation is that the crustal seismic velocity field is primarily controlled by the heterogeneous lithology (e.g. Christensen & Mooney 1995), and not by temperature. However, there are cases where subtle systematic differences in crustal velocities between craton and adjacent orogen have been identified (e.g. Dalton et al. 2011).

In this study, we use ambient seismic noise recorded with a 70-station seismic array to image the upper and middle crustal surface wave velocity structure of the east Albany-Fraser Orogen (AFO), a Proterozoic orogen in southwestern Australia, and its transition to the adjacent Archean Yilgarn Craton. The deployment was designed to complement three active seismic profiles that were shot in 2012 near the northern and southern ends of the array (Fig. 1; Spaggiari et al. 2014b). An additional objective of this study is thus to check whether some of the seismic domains identified in the active seismic profiles possess a distinct signature in the surface wave velocities. We further interpret our results together with the regional Bouguer gravity map (Fig. 2), which contains several first- and second-order features that are thought to represent intracrustal density variations that should have an expression in seismic velocities.

2 TECTONIC SETTING
The east AFO in Western Australia represents an area of extensive crustal reworking of the Archean Yilgarn Craton’s southeastern margin during the Proterozoic (Myers 1990; Kirkland et al. 1995).
Palaeoproterozoic tectonism was dominated by extension, whereas Mesoproterozoic tectonism occurred in two stages between 1330–1280 Ma and 1225–1140 Ma (Clark et al. 2000; Kirkland et al. 2011; Spaggiari et al. 2015). Stage I was triggered by arc accretion to the east in the Madura Province, and Stage II was an intracratonic reactivation event (Kirkland et al. 2011; Smithies et al. 2015). The east AFO is subdivided into the Northern Foreland and Kepa Kurl Booya Province, which...
is further divided into the Tropicana, Biranup, Normalup and Fraser Zones (Fig. 1; Spaggiari et al. 2014a). The Northern Foreland is for the most part Archean Yilgarn Craton that was last modified during the AFO. In the east AFO it forms a narrow belt between the unmodified Yilgarn Craton and the Kepa Kurl Booya Province. East of the Northern Foreland, the Biranup Zone extends along the entire length of the AFO, comprising mainly orthogneissic rocks with ages of 1810–1625 Ma (Kirkland et al. 2011; Spaggiari et al. 2014a). The eastern Normalup Zone consists of similar lithologies, but has been more heavily intruded by the granites of the ca. 1330–1280 Ma Recherche and ca. 1200–1140 Ma Esperance Supersuites. In its northeastern part, these rocks are overlain by the Eocene limestone successions of the Eucla Basin. In the south, the Newman and Coramup Shear Zones separate the Biranup and Normalup Zones, but farther north they are separated by the Fraser Zone, an approximately 450 km long, northeasterly trending belt of high-density, metagabbroic granulite facies rocks (Fig. 1) that produce a prominent high in the regional Bouguer gravity map (Fig. 2). Bound by the Fraser Shear Zone to the west and south and by the Newman and Boonderoo Shear Zones to the east, this body of mafic to locally ultramafic rocks and coeval metagranitic rocks was exhumed from lower- to mid-crustal depths in the later part of Stage I of the AFO (Clark et al. 2014; Spaggiari et al. 2015; Maier et al. 2016). To the far east, just beyond the easternmost extent of our seismometer deployment, the Rodona Shear Zone separates the AFO from the adjacent Madura Province.

### 3 DATA

We used data from the temporary ALFREX deployment (Sippl et al. 2015), a 70-station array that was deployed in the AFO between November 2013 and January 2016. The network consisted of both short-period (1 Hz corner frequency) and broad-band sensors (Fig. 1) and was run in two periods of approximately 1 yr deployment time each. ALFREX covered all lithotectonic units from the Neoproterozoic Yilgarn Craton in the west to the eastern Normalup Zone in the east, and was complemented by two permanent stations (KMBL, AUKAL) at its northwestern edge. 12 stations were operated for the entire period of 2 yr, providing ray-path connectivity between the two sub-networks (Supporting Information Fig. S3).

### 4 METHOD

We retrieved empirical Green’s functions for fundamental mode Rayleigh waves from cross-correlations of vertical-component traces of ambient seismic noise using all possible station pair combinations in our data set. Hourly data segments were demeaned and detrended, the instrument response was removed, traces were downsampled from 50 to 10 Hz and bandpass filtered to between 0.01 and 1 Hz before correlation. Amplitudes were normalized by multiplying with the inverse of a moving-window amplitude average (Bensen et al. 2007), and spectral whitening was applied.

The cross correlation was performed with a time domain routine within the ObsPy software package (Beyreuther et al. 2010), hourly correlograms were stacked for the entire available time period. Examples of retrieved empirical Green’s functions (EGFs) are shown in Fig. 3. Since we have many short-period instruments in the data set, we restricted the used period range to 2–20 s. Correlograms for short-period stations at the longest periods have lower signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) compared to the broad-band stations, but are frequently still utilizable. Group velocities were determined using frequency-time analysis (FTAN, e.g. Levshin et al. 1992) and a phase-matched filtering approach (Levshin & Ritzwoller 2001; Arroucau et al. 2010; Young et al. 2011) on the envelope of the symmetric component (averaged causal and acausal parts) of the empirical Green’s functions. Only cross-correlograms between stations with a spatial separation larger than 3 wavelengths were used for the retrieval of dispersion curves (e.g. Bensen et al. 2008; Lin et al. 2008), and traces with an SNR lower than 4 were discarded. This rather low choice of SNR was necessary to have sufficient paths available at the longer periods. Group velocities were determined twice, once without and once with the phase-matched filtering, and
only measurements that were consistent between the two approaches were kept. All selected group velocity dispersion curves are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information.

For determining phase velocities, we relied on a semi-automated approach (modified from Arroucau et al. 2010; Young et al. 2011) that picks phase velocity dispersion curves from plots of phase velocity against period (after Yao et al. 2006). We first carefully handpicked an average dispersion curve, based on which a corridor of 'sensible' velocities was established. Dispersion curves from all station pairs were then picked automatically, whereby the resulting velocity was required to lie in the aforementioned corridor. All thus determined curves were visually checked and modified if necessary. If several branches were clearly visible in the matrix plot of phase velocity against period, we picked the slowest one that featured increasing phase velocities with period. A plot of all selected phase velocity dispersion curves is provided in Supporting Information Fig. S1.

Examples for single group and phase velocity dispersion curve picks are shown in Fig. 4. The distribution of phase and group velocities across our network is summarized as a median dispersion curve in Fig. 5. The wavelength criterion applied to the phase and group velocity determination means that the largest numbers of picks were obtained for the shorter periods between 4 and 7 s (Fig. 6), and path coverage significantly decreases for the longer periods (Supporting Information Fig. S3). The median dispersion curves hint at overall high to very high surface wave velocities. We inverted for 2-D distributions of group and phase velocity at periods between 2 and 20 s, using the iterative nonlinear code fmst which employs a fast-marching method for the forward calculations (Rawlinson & Kennett 2004; Rawlinson & Sambridge 2005) and a subspace method (e.g. Kennett et al. 1988) for the actual inversion of surface wave velocities. The study area was parameterized as a regular grid of 60*60 nodes, which corresponds to grid cell sizes close to 10 km in both latitudinal and longitudinal direction. The tomographic inversion was performed with a total of 10 iterations, using a homogeneous starting model set to the mean velocity of all travel times at each period. After each iteration, theoretical traveltimes were recomputed. Optimal values for smoothing and damping were chosen by analysing L-curves between data and model variance (after Eberhart-Phillips 1986). Achieved variance reductions compared to the homogeneous starting model ranged between 89 and 38 per cent for phase velocities and between 85 and 20 per cent for group velocities, with the latter featuring substantially lower numbers at the longest periods (see Fig. 6). This is due both to a lower number of ray paths and a higher uncertainty in the group velocity picks at long periods (especially at 12 and 14 s; see Fig. 5 and Supporting Information Fig. S1) compared to the phase velocity ones (Fig. 4). A summary plot of the residual distributions before and after inversion is provided in Fig. 7.
5 RESOLUTION TESTS

Results for checkerboard resolution tests for the retrieval of phase and group velocity maps at 2, 5, 10 and 20 s period are shown in Supporting Information Fig. S2. We used the same event-station geometry, inversion settings and damping/smoothing combination as for the real data, with input anomalies of ±7.5 per cent superimposed onto the mean velocity at each period. Input anomalies measured 2 × 2 nodes (corresponding to about 20 × 20 km), with one neutral node between anomalies of opposite sign. Gaussian noise with a standard deviation of 0.5 s (for 2 and 5 s period), 0.7 s (for 10 s period) or 1.0 s (for 20 s period) was added to the synthetic travel times to simulate the uncertainty in retrieving the correct phase and group velocities. The retrieved images show a noticeable reduction in resolution at the period of 20 s, where diagonal smearing of anomalies and the coalescence of single
Figure 7. Initial (upper row) and final (lower row) residual distributions for group (left column) and phase velocity (right column) inversions. For every period, traveltime residuals are plotted against traveltimes, colour coding of points is according to point density. It is apparent that while the residual distribution is significantly narrowed down for short periods, the effect is smaller for the longer periods.

vertices can be observed. This is mostly due to the substantially lower number of rays that were inverted at this period. At shorter periods, the input anomalies are reasonably well recovered over most of the study area, although with somewhat reduced amplitudes. The results from the group velocity inversion appear to be better resolved than the phase velocity images. The main reason for this is that the L-curve analysis yielded higher optimal damping values for the phase velocities at shorter periods, which was likely to be due to more scatter in the picks (see Supporting Information Fig. S1). At the northwestern edge of the network, the available resolution is consistently low, due to ray-path coverage to and from a single station only (see Supporting Information Fig. S3). Therefore anomalies retrieved in this region have been omitted from the interpretations.

6 GROUP AND PHASE VELOCITY MAPS

Group and phase velocity maps for periods of 2, 5, 10 and 20 s are shown in Fig. 8, maps for other periods can be found in the Supporting Information (Figs S4–S7). All phase and group velocity maps feature quite high average velocities clearly in excess of 3 km s$^{-1}$ even for the shortest period of 2 s. These results confirm the anomalously high group velocities at short periods for most of Western Australia found by Saygin & Kennett (2012). Whereas phase velocities increase with period, the average group velocity dispersion curve is nearly flat (Fig. 5). The main features in the group and phase velocity maps are highly consistent, although there are differences in detail; this attests to the robustness of the retrieved velocity distributions.

The most prominent anomaly is a pronounced elongated velocity high, trending NNE in the northern half of the array (anomaly H1 in Fig. 8), which is spatially coincident with a regional gravity high (shown with orange contour lines in Fig. 8). While this anomaly can be traced from the southern end of the gravity high up to the northern end of the array in the 2 and 5 s maps, its northern part fades out in the 10 s phase velocity map, but is still clearly observed in the group velocities. At 20 s period, the anomaly is no longer visible. In most of the group and phase velocity maps, this high-velocity anomaly protrudes westward into the adjacent gravity low (H2). In the eastern and northeastern part of the array, where the Normalup Zone is overlain by the limestones of the Eucla Basin, consistently low velocities are retrieved at short (L1) and the longest periods (L3), whereas velocities between those (i.e. at 10 s) are fast to intermediate. In the southern and western part of the study area, retrieved velocities usually do not deviate far from the mean for each period. In the far southeast of the array, an area of consistently low velocities in the vicinity of Cape Arid (L2) is imaged at all periods. The prominent high-velocity anomaly in the northwest in the 20 s phase velocity map (H3) lies in a region of low resolution (Supporting Information Fig. S2) and poor ray coverage (Supporting Information Fig. S3) and is therefore not robust.

7 DEPTH INVERSIONS

In order to better understand at which depths the imaged anomalies originate, we calculated sensitivity kernels for phase and group velocities (Fig. 9) and carried out depth inversions of dispersion curves for 6 characteristic locations (Fig. 10). Fig. 9 shows sensitivity kernels for phase and group velocities at the periods displayed...
Figure 8. Group velocity maps (left column) and phase velocity maps (right column) for periods of 2, 5, 10 and 20 s. The Bouguer gravity contours are overlain in orange (positive anomalies) and green (negative anomalies). The velocity colour scales are normalized so that the mean velocity in each image appears in white, and black dashed isolines are shown every 0.1 km s\(^{-1}\). The inverted green triangles represent the seismic stations we used, thick black lines mark the location of the 2012 deep seismic reflection profiles. Ray-path coverage for each of these maps is shown in Supporting Information Fig. S3. High- and low-velocity anomalies discussed in the text are numbered.
in Fig. 8, computed using the codes of Herrmann (2013) and a regional average over the AusREM velocity model (Salmon et al. 2013) as background. Tests showed that the kernels only weakly depend on the utilized background velocity model, a computation using a global model yielded highly similar results. These sensitivity kernels show that the period range that we analysed is mainly sampling the upper and middle crust, with only a weak contribution from lower crustal depths at the longest periods. The influence of subcrustal regions and thus Moho topography is nearly non-existent for group velocities, and small for the phase velocities. As expected, group velocities generally sample somewhat shallower depths than phase velocities for the same period.

We inverted group velocity dispersion curves picked at six characteristic grid node points throughout the study area for 1-D $v_s$ profiles (similar to, e.g. Domingues et al. 2016, see Fig. 10). The applied 1-D inversion scheme is a linearized, damped least-squares approach (Herrmann 2013), with which we performed a total of 12 iterations. After these, the changes in the resulting $v_s$ models were minimal. The starting model was homogeneous, with $v_s = 3.53 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in 8 layers of 3 km thickness each. At depths larger than 24 km, $v_s$ was kept fixed at the same value. We applied this strategy because of the limited resolution of our data set at lower crustal and sub-crustal depths, due to the limited range of utilized periods (see Fig. 9). The retrieved $v_s$ profiles show pronounced differences between the various regions: both points taken within the Fraser Zone (green), for instance, feature high shear wave speeds in the uppermost 12–15 km, underlain by significantly slower material. The 1-D profile located in the Eucla Basin, on the other hand, exhibits a very slow uppermost layer, but rather high velocities in the deeper part of the upper crust (magenta curve). Nearly all profiles show a decrease in shear wave velocities towards middle crustal depths.

8 DISCUSSION

We find no clear signature of the transition between Archean Yilgarn Craton and the Proterozoic AFO in our phase and group velocity maps. The maps are instead dominated by anomalies that represent more regional geological features that will be discussed in the following. Previous knowledge of the regional crustal structure comes predominantly from three active seismic lines (12GA-AF1, 12GA-AF2 and 12GA-AF3) acquired in 2012 (Fig. 1; Spaggiari et al. 2014b), as well as from surface geological constraints. We thus first interpret the obtained results based on these two data sets, and attempt to use the phase and group velocity maps to derive the distribution of units between the seismic profiles. In a second step, we compare the obtained anomalies to the regional Bouguer gravity map, which allows the placement of depth constraints on some of the gravity anomalies.
8.1 Interpretation of retrieved anomalies

Anomaly H1, the most prominent high-velocity anomaly we image, is clearly associated with the Fraser Zone (see Fig. 1), which is in part defined by its distinct regional high in Bouguer gravity maps (Fig. 2). The metagabbroic rocks that dominate the Fraser Zone have very high rock densities, thus creating a positive surface wave anomaly, even in the presence of locally elevated $v_p/v_s$ ratio (Spaggiari et al. 2017). In active seismic line 12GA-AF3, which crosses the Fraser Zone in the northernmost part of our array, the Fraser Zone is imaged as a V-shaped entity (Fig. 1c) interpreted as a pop-up structure with a maximum depth of 4.2 s two-way traveltime (TWT), approximating 13 km depth (based on a conversion velocity of 6 km s$^{-1}$; Spaggiari et al. 2014b). In the phase and group velocity maps, the high-velocity anomaly associated with the Fraser Zone extends to longer periods in its southern part compared to the location where the active seismic profile crosses (central part of the Fraser Zone; Fig. 8). This is in accordance with the higher amplitudes of the gravity high in the southernmost part of the Fraser Zone, and may provide insight into the geometrical configuration of the Fraser Zone rocks and their exhumation. Alternatively, the Fraser Zone may simply be thicker in this region, regardless of the exhumation mechanism, as there are no significant differences in the observed geological relationships between its central and southern portions, that is, there is nothing to suggest a different crustal level is exposed (Maier et al. 2016). However, the two 1-D $v_s$ profiles located in the northeastern and southwestern part of the Fraser Zone (Fig. 10) both show anomalously fast velocities confined to the uppermost 12–15 km, underlain by significantly slower material. No clear difference in depth extent of the elevated velocities is discernible.

The most prominent low-velocity anomaly we image (L1) is situated at shallow crustal levels throughout the eastern Nornalup Zone, approximately where the eastern Nornalup Zone is overlain by the Eocene sedimentary rocks of the Eucla Basin. Drillcores from boreholes to the east, where the basin is expected to be deeper, showed that the basement is reached at depths around 400–500 m there (Spaggiari & Smithies 2015), and a recent study by Scheib et al. (2016) retrieved depths to basement of ≤300 m for the part of the Eucla Basin that is situated in our study area. Thus, the fact that we still see consistently slow velocities at 5 s period most likely implies a slow uppermost basement in addition to the overlying sediments. The 1-D $v_s$ profile for the Eucla Basin (Fig. 10; magenta curve) shows a very slow uppermost layer, and faster velocities from 6 km downwards. Information on the nature of the basement beneath the Eucla Basin is very sparse, including that beneath the northeastern Nornalup Zone. Potential field and sparse drillcore data suggest considerable complexity, including the presence of metasedimentary rocks intruded by dominantly granitic rocks of the Recherche and Esperance Supersuites (Murdie et al. 2014; Spaggiari et al. 2014b; Spaggiari & Smithies 2015). The transition from intermediate to fast velocities at 10 s, which most likely represent the basement, to markedly slow velocities at 20 s (L3) likely captures a vertical transition in the middle crust that has not been previously recognized. Such a transition may, at least in part, explain significant areas of reflectivity that are mostly restricted to the upper crust in the area near L1 in the active seismic line 12GA-AF3. These areas of reflectivity were interpreted as metasedimentary rocks in the uppermost crust, underlain by inclusions of basement within younger granites (Spaggiari et al. 2014b). The low-velocity anomaly beneath the Cape Arid region (L2) in the very southeast of the array is enigmatic. While the region shows a local minimum of crustal thickness (Spaggiari et al. 2017), the active seismic profile 12GA-AF1 only extends as far as the western edge of this anomaly (Spaggiari et al. 2014b; Waddell et al. 2015). However, the far eastern end of the 12GA-AF1 profile shows a change in upper and lower crustal character that might correspond to the anomalously slow velocities we image here. In the 1-D $v_s$ profile, this region exhibits velocities slower than adjacent areas throughout the upper crust, to a depth of 15 km.

8.2 Comparison with Bouguer gravity

Directly relating a distribution of seismic velocities to density is not a straightforward task. Although empirically determined regression curves exist that yield density values depending on $v_p$ and/or $v_s$ (Nafe & Drake 1957; Brocher 2005), density also varies between different rock types at the same seismic velocity, which leads to scatter around those regression curves that is often significantly larger than the anomalies one seeks to explain (e.g. Barton 1986). In the east AFO, the largest and most characteristic gravity anomalies are a pair of parallel, elongated anomalies of opposite sign that follow the general strike of the orogen (Fig. 2). These have been explained as due to a regionally thickened crust (responsible for the gravity low) in combination with dense upper crustal rocks in the Fraser Zone (Spaggiari et al. 2017). While we do not resolve the crustal structure down to Moho depths here (Fig. 9), we clearly image the elevated seismic velocities of the Fraser Zone in the upper crust (anomaly H1; see above).

The two dense units in the lower crust retrieved in the gravity models in Spaggiari et al. (2017), the Gunnadorrah seismic zone that underlies the east AFO and the denser lower crustal rocks inside the Moho ‘trough’ imaged with receiver functions, show no signature in our velocity models. This is not surprising because they are situated at depths below of what we can expect to resolve. However, the Bouguer gravity map helps identify the origin of anomaly H2 (Fig. 8). This high-velocity anomaly extends west of the Fraser Zone gravity high, and is situated slightly south of an area where a localized, northwesterly trending gravity high intersects the otherwise continuous gravity low that parallels the western side of the Fraser Zone (see Fig. 2). This local gravity high corresponds to the location where northwesterly trending greenstone belts of the Eastern Goldfields Superterrane extend as far southeast as the Cundeelee Shear Zone (Fig. 1), with the reworked counterparts most likely dipping to the southeast beneath the shear zone (see also Spaggiari et al. 2014b). It is also interesting to note that some features in the Bouguer gravity map do not appear to have a signature in our velocity maps, which could imply that they have a deeper, lower crustal origin. This applies to the prominent gravity low along the orogen’s strike (see above), the gravity high in the far southwest of the array which has been linked to high-density lower crustal rocks (Spaggiari et al. 2017), and to the extension of the Fraser Zone gravity high to the southeast, which has previously been modelled as originating from a mid- to lower-crustal high-density body (Brisbout 2015).

9 CONCLUSIONS

We inverted group and phase velocity wave speeds obtained from ambient noise cross-correlation of station pairs of the AL FREX passive seismic deployment (2013–2016) in the east AFO to obtain 2-D maps of phase and group velocities at periods between 2 and 20 s. Furthermore, we tried to constrain the depth extent of the
retrieved anomalies by the calculation of sensitivity kernels and by carrying out inversions for 1-D $v_p$ profiles at characteristic positions.

The major surface wave velocity variations across the AFO do not show a sharp transition between Neoarchean and Proterozoic rocks, as one might expect from their juxtaposition shown in geological maps. Instead, surface wave velocities mainly delineate several local features and lithological units. This could be due to the fact that the AFO crust actually contains large volumes of Neoarchean material, particularly in the lower crust, that has been thermally and structurally reworked. The most prominent surface wave speed anomalies we retrieved correspond to the Fraser Zone metamorphic rocks and the limestone and sediment successions of the Eucla Basin atop the eastern Normalup Zone. The Fraser Zone is outlined by clearly elevated seismic velocities in the upper crust, to depths of 12–15 km. The extent of elevated surface wave velocities corresponds to the area outlined by a gravity high, which is evidence for a link between rock type and velocity here. Other prominent anomalies in the eastern part of the array are indicative of the sediment cover and basement structure of the eastern Normalup Zone. While it is not always possible to link the units from the active seismic profiles (Fig. 1c) to a distinct velocity signature, the phase and group velocity maps from ambient noise tomography have proven useful in placing density constraints on anomalies observed in the Bouger gravity maps, and outlining a number of regional features more clearly.
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Supplementary data are available at GJI online.

Figure S1. All selected dispersion curves for group (left) and phase velocity (right) that were used for inverting the 2D maps.

Figure S2. Checkerboard resolution tests for group and phase velocity retrieval.

Figure S3. Raypath geometries for the group and phase velocity maps shown in Fig. 8.

Figure S4. Group velocity maps for periods of 2–9 s. For plot details refer to Fig. 8.

Figure S5. Group velocity maps for periods of 10–20 s. For plot details refer to Fig. 8.

Figure S6. Phase velocity maps for periods of 2–9 s. For plot details refer to Fig. 8.

Figure S7. Phase velocity maps for periods of 10–20 s. For plot details refer to Fig. 8.
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